



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/735,780	12/16/2003	Clinton Jackson SR.	CJ-HR-1	4037
759	90 01/18/2005		EXAM	INER
Henry W. Cun	nmings		WILSON	, LEE D
3313 W. Adams				
St. Charles, MO 63301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		3723	
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/735,780	JACKSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		LEE D WILSON	3723			
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate the property 	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	. •				
		action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15,17 and 20-22 is/are pending in that Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 2-6,17 and 21 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,7-15,20 and 22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[] 7	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[:	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(•					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/735,780 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martin et al (5722641).

Martin et al discloses an automobile pneumatic jack assembly having cylinders (fig.2&20), at least one compressor (90), at least one distribution manifold (72), electronic controls (figs 5&6 and 80 has a hold fast position which is equivalent to locking-)

3. Claims 11- 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Schneider et al (5176391).

Schneider et al discloses the claimed invention in particular an electrical interlock switch (230 and col.l 1, lines 66-67 and col.2, lines 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/735,780

Art Unit: 3723

- 5. Claims 13 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider et al (5176391).
 - a. Schneider et al (5176391) is discussed above.
 - b. Schneider et al discloses the claimed invention except for a key. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have use a key switch instead of a button switch, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. (Therefore, the key switch is like the equivalent of a button or switch can be used to turn the jack on and off to prevent accidental activation).
- 6. Claims 14-15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider et al (5176391) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Martin et al (5722641).
 - c. Schneider et al are discussed above.
 - d. Schneider et al does not disclose three position rocker switches.
 - e. Martin et al discloses an invention having a jacking system using three position rocker switches which allow the jack to having three positions.
 - f. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to have modified the Schneider device by replacing the activation switches with three position rocker switches as taught by martin et al which allow the jack to having three positions.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,780 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2-6, 17 and 21 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 8/5/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. Applicant feels that the rejections are not valid.
 - g. Martin does disclose a pneumatic system. It does not matter if the applicant feels there system is better because patentability is based on the differences in the limitation and not personal oppion of which invention is assumed to be better.
- 10. Applicant request reconsideration of Schneider.
 - h. The Schneider reference discloses a system which has an interlock system wherein when the parking brake is applied is activated See (230 and col.I 1, lines 66-67 and col.2, lines 1-3).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chiang discloses an invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,780

Art Unit: 3723

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

January 11, 2005

LEE D. WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER